

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC

Patent and Trademark Offic

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** 09/334.646 06/17/99 YAMAZAKI S 0756-1984 **EXAMINER** MMC1/0804 SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON PC HU.S 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** MCLEAN VA 22102 2811 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/04/00

1- File Copy

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/334,646**

Applicant(s)

40

Examiner

Shouxiang Hu

Group Art Unit 2811

Yamazaki et al.

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jun 1, 2000</u>	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quay</i> /1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire <u>one</u> month(s), o longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ponse will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>1-14, 16-20, and 22-70</u>	_ is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	·
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	capproved
	sapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	_
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have bee	n
received.	
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 	-
*Certified copies not received:	17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s) — Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/334,646

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. This application now contains claims 1-14, 16-20 and 22-70 directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - 1. Embodiment of Figures 1(A)-1(D)
 - 2. Embodiment of Figures 2(A)-2(D)
 - 3. Embodiment of Figure 3
 - 4. Embodiment of Figure 8

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/334,646

Art Unit: 2811

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

FROM DATE
PROMER BASINA

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is (703) 306-5729.

SH

August 2, 2000